

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/980,540	GAUDILLIERE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624	

All Participants:

(1) Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.

(2) Mr. Robert Ronau.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2004
Time: 9:00 AM
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

52-63 and 66-69


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative to request deletion of claims 55-59, 61-63 and 67-69 and amending claims 52 and 60. Mr. Ronau agreed to have the changes made by examiner's amendment without prejudice.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)